

# Static risk-averse models with applications to mining

Ph.D. student: Gianpiero Canessa Gisseleire  
Advisor: Bernardo K. Pagnoncelli

September 9, 2020

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	6
1.2 Acknowledgements . . . . .	8
<b>2 Bibliographic Review</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Binary IIS Algorithm . . . . .	10
2.2 Risk-averse Ultimate Pit Problem . . . . .	11
<b>3 Binary IIS Algorithm</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
3.2 Infeasible irreducible subsystems . . . . .	15
3.2.1 The deterministic equivalent formulation . . . . .	15
3.2.2 Irreducibly infeasible subsystems . . . . .	16
3.2.3 IIS and CCP Problems . . . . .	17
3.3 Strategies to solve binary CCP . . . . .	19
3.3.1 Efficient Upper Bound Generation . . . . .	19
3.3.2 The choice of $\epsilon$ for binary problems . . . . .	20
3.4 The algorithm . . . . .	22
3.4.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	22
3.4.2 IIS branch-and-cut algorithm . . . . .	23
3.5 Numerical experiments . . . . .	25
3.5.1 Stochastic Set Covering Problem . . . . .	25
3.5.2 Vaccine Allocation Problem . . . . .	28
3.6 Conclusions . . . . .	31
<b>4 Risk-averse UP problem</b>	<b>33</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	33
4.2 Ultimate Pit problem and nested pits . . . . .	34
4.2.1 UP problem . . . . .	34
4.2.2 Nested pits . . . . .	36

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>3</b>
4.3 Risk measurement . . . . .	37
4.3.1 Defining risk under ore grade uncertainty . . . . .	37
4.3.2 The Entropic risk measure . . . . .	39
4.4 Computational results . . . . .	39
4.4.1 Small mine . . . . .	40
4.4.2 Andina case . . . . .	43
4.5 Conclusions . . . . .	46
<b>5 Bibliography</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>A Risk-averse UP Problem</b>	<b>51</b>
A.1 Mathematical proofs . . . . .	51
A.1.1 Risk-nestedness for the UP problem of the entropic measure	51
A.1.2 Proof of Lemma 1 . . . . .	52
A.1.3 Proof of Lemma 2 . . . . .	52
A.2 Other Lemmas and Propositions of interest . . . . .	53
A.3 Entropic risk objective function linearization . . . . .	54

# List of Figures

1.1	Number of publications in Scopus under the tag <i>stochastic optimization</i> from 2000 to 2019. . . . .	6
3.1	The set $Q$ . . . . .	17
3.2	Performance comparison between instances. . . . .	29
4.1	Example of nested pits. . . . .	36
4.2	Three blocks. . . . .	38
4.3	Topology of the small mine: blue means the block is part of set of precedent blocks of block $b$ . . . . .	40
4.4	Configuration of the blocks in the small mine. . . . .	41
4.5	Configuration of the pits obtained with different values of $\alpha$ and changing the variance-covariance configuration. . . . .	42
4.6	Configuration of the pits obtained with different values of $\beta$ . . . . .	42
4.7	Negative profits per scenario for the Andina case. . . . .	45
4.8	Comparing pits of both models. . . . .	45
A.1	Subgradient linear approximation of $\exp(x)$ using $K = 4$ support points where $U = \{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$ . . . . .	55

# List of Tables

3.1	Comparison of performance between Gurobi and IISBAC using different sample sizes in <code>scp41</code> . . . . .	27
3.2	Comparison of performance between Gurobi and IISBAC using different sample sizes in <code>scpa1</code> . . . . .	28
3.3	Problem sizes for vaccination test instances. * $\alpha = 0.05$ . . . . .	29
3.4	Larger samples (1,000 and 2,000) results using $\alpha = 0.05$ . * final gap 1.5%. . . . .	30
4.1	Comparison of mining preference under CVaR. . . . .	38
4.2	Table of descriptive statistics for NP of the out of sample results.	44
4.3	Table of descriptive statistics for Ent of the out of sample results.	44